



**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

MF

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,609	01/17/2001	Donald W. Malackowski	29997/035A	7844

7590 03/18/2003

J. William Frank, III  
McCracken & Frank  
200 W. Adams  
Suite 2150  
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER

LEUBECKER, JOHN P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3739

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/764,609	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MALACKOWSKI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> John P. Leubecker	<b>Art Unit</b> 3739

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2002.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-34 and 80-106 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-34 and 80-106 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) All b) Some \* c) None of:  
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

***Specification***

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not adequately summarize the invention. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

This objection has been repeated from the previous Office Action.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13-34, 80-84, 86-93, 95, 96 and 100-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chader et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,617,857).

Chader et al. discloses the device as set forth in numbered paragraph 9 of the previous Office Action, paper number 13, wherein the transceiver includes hard wires. Wireless transmission of signals is old and well known. Where there is a limited universe of potential options, the selection of any particular option would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Jones*, 412 F.2d 241, 162 USPQ 224 (CCPA 1969). Since one of ordinary skill in the art is limited to either wireless or hard wired transmission of signals, the selection of either known option would have been obvious to the ordinarily skilled artisan. Wireless transmission would be desirable to eliminate a binding attachment between components allowing free movement and less entanglements.

4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 9, 13-34, 80-84, 86-93, 95, 96 and 100-106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chader et al. in view of Acker et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,453,190).

Chader et al. discloses the device as set forth in numbered paragraph 9 of the previous Office Action, paper number 13, wherein the transceiver includes hard wires. Acker et al. discloses a similar system wherein it is taught that hard-wired connections can be replaced with wireless connections to “avoid the physical encumbrance of loose wires trailing the instrument” (col.11, line 61 to col.12, line 4). In view of this teaching and motivation, it would have been obvious to one of mere ordinary skill in the art to have made the hard-wired connections of Chader et al. wireless.

5. Claims 4, 7, 10-12, 85, 94 and 97-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chader et al. for the reasons set forth in numbered paragraph 11 of the previous Office Action, paper number 13.

6. Claims 4, 7, 10-12, 85, 94 and 97-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chader et al. in view of Acker et al. for the reasons set forth in numbered paragraph 11 of the previous Office Action, paper number 13.

***Response to Arguments***

7. Applicant's arguments with respect claims 1, 23 and 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

*Conclusion*

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Leubecker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 6:00 AM to 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C.M. Dvorak can be reached on (703) 308-0994. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 3739

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3590 for regular communications and (703) 305-3590 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.



John P. Leubecker  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3739

jpl  
March 11, 2003